



# ePSIplus

Towards the 2008 review of the Directive on PSI re-use

## Network Kick Off Meeting Prague, 30-31 October 2006

Interested in PSI, Then Why Not Visit [www.epsiplus.net](http://www.epsiplus.net)

---

### **Welcome (Dagmar Vránová, EPMA)**

Dagmar welcomed everyone to Prague wishing everyone a pleasant stay and a productive meeting. She commented that many PSI issues were not easy ones to resolve, but that they were very important ones to address. PSI is essential to the development of new business and social policies.

### **Meeting Objectives**

The two main objectives of the meeting are:

1. Mobilise the ePSIplus Network providing briefings on the work of the project, practical information about the administration of the project and an opportunity for network members to meet each other in person.
2. Promote discussion on PSI issues and the substantive work of the project to share experiences, addresses issues and move forward.

### **Background Information**

All PowerPoint presentation files are available on the ePSIplus website at this link.

[http://www.epsiplus.net/epsiplus/events/epsiplus\\_network\\_kick\\_off\\_meeting\\_prague\\_30\\_31\\_october\\_2006](http://www.epsiplus.net/epsiplus/events/epsiplus_network_kick_off_meeting_prague_30_31_october_2006)

Workpackage numbers referred to in presentations and meeting discussions are taken directly from the 'Description of Work' document, which is Annex I to the Grant Agreement. Thematic areas correspond to Workpackage numbers less 1, e.g., theme 1 (Legal and Regulatory Progress and Impact) corresponds to Workpackage number 2.

### **Summary of Themes from Meeting Discussions**

- ✓ Network members are strongly encouraged to provide feedback, comments on all aspects of ePSIplus work, including the ePSIplus web portal. The work of the ePSIplus Network is in a developmental stage and input from Network members is vital to the goals and success of the project.
- ✓ Network members are encouraged to send PSI content related to their country for publishing on the website, e.g., news items, reports, cases studies, good practice examples, legal cases, developments, links. Reports and other materials in national languages can be sent (with a brief English description of content, author, publication date). Send website content to Chris Corbin ([Chris.Corbin@epsiplus.net](mailto:Chris.Corbin@epsiplus.net)) and Mary Gianoli ([Mary.Gianoli@epsiplus.net](mailto:Mary.Gianoli@epsiplus.net)).
- ✓ Network members are encouraged to send their local good practice examples related to any of the ePSIplus themes. These good practice examples will be shared across the ePSIplus Network and used during meeting discussions.

- ✓ Network members are encouraged to set up 'reflector websites' (links from their own website to the ePSIplus web portal).
- ✓ Questions about practical matters such as reimbursement of travel and subsistence costs can be sent to Olga McHenry ([Olga.McHenry@epsiplus.net](mailto:Olga.McHenry@epsiplus.net)) and Mary Gianoli ([Mary.Gianoli@epsiplus.net](mailto:Mary.Gianoli@epsiplus.net)).
- ✓ Encourage persons with an interest in PSI to register on the ePSIplus website to become a stakeholder.
- ✓ The spirit of the Directive, as explicit in its 'Recitals,' will provide a baseline for the operations of ePSIplus

## 1. Community Actions in Developing PSI in Europe (Andrej Osterman, European Commission, DG Information Society and Media Information Market Unit – E4)

There is a high social and economic value to PSI. There are many examples of good PSI re-use, e.g., Google maps based on PSI.

The EC's policy group on PSI has been meeting twice a year since 2002. The next meeting is set for 28 November 2006 and ePSIplus has been invited to give a presentation at this meeting.

Some European Commission portals relevant to ePSIplus:

[Eur-Lex](#) (The EU Internet portal to EU legal texts)

[Eurostat](#) (Detailed statistics on the EU and candidate countries)

### Discussion/Comments

- The importance of getting PSI issues on the agendas at the ministerial and top management levels was stressed.
- EC projects funding PSI need to take into consideration that PSI issues are often country specific, where as the funding options often necessitate cross country involvement.

## 2. Introduction of ePSIplus Project (Rob Davies, ePSIplus Network Co-ordinator)

The ePSIplus Network includes persons/organisation previously involved in the projects such as ePSINet and ePSINet CEE, as well as the MEPSIR study. The ePSIplus Network is made up of the following groups. For information about these persons/organisations/contact details visit the [ePSIplus website – Contacts](#).

### **MDR Team – 5 Persons including**

Rob Davies, ePSIplus Network Co-ordinator

David Fuegi, Financial Co-ordinator

Mary Gianoli, Project Administrator & Content Manager

Olga McHenry, ePSIplus Meetings Co-ordinator

Luc Chase, ePSIplus Webmaster

### **Analysts – Working in Thematic Areas**

Chris Corbin – Financial Impact of the Directive

Marc De Vries – Legal and Regulatory Process and Impact

Brian Green – Information Management, Standards and Data Quality

Gerhard Wagner – Encouraging PSI Re-use Business

Michal Warzala – ePSIplus Stakeholders & New Members State Issues

### **Network Members (National Representatives & European Associations)**

This group includes one organisation representing a country with an attempt to include a mix of private and public sector organisations. In addition, European organisations are represented in the Network.

### **Stakeholders – ePSIplus Community**

Includes registered stakeholders (organisations/individuals) with a professional and commercial interest in PSI issues and implementation of the Directive).

### **PMB (Project Management Board)**

Six Network Member organisations will be represented on the PMB and will be involved in project planning and decision making processes. Applications were invited.

### **Reference Materials for the Network**

Suggested basic reference documents for reading are the [PSI Directive](#) and the ePSIplus Description of Work (Annex I, to the project Grant Agreement).

Suggested Desirable Reading - [MEPSIR \(Measuring European Public Sector Resources\) Report](#)

#### **3. Introduction of Network National Partners**

- Refer to Annex for a list of meeting participants

#### **4. Public Sector Information in France: Flawed Framework but Healthy Industry? (Michel Vajou, Director, MV Etudes et Conseil)**

Michel was thanked for his excellent presentation raising many points at the heart of PSI issues and compliance with the Directive.

#### **5. Legal and Regulatory Process and Impact: Key Issues (Marc De Vries, ePSIplus Analyst)**

The legal thematic area will focus on 'compliance'. Input from all countries is needed to get a full picture of compliance. Everyone was invited to share experiences and stories from their countries.

A draft glossary of PSI Terms (version 1) was presented and everyone was invited to make inputs and comment on the draft.

#### **Discussion/Comments**

- Having to pay a high price for PSI can be an advantage to a commercial re-user of PSI in the sense that the high cost of the PSI discourages competitors.
- Some Nordic countries have an ombudsman option and can expect to have a response to PSI related requests within 30 days. Other countries reported the availability of appeal mechanisms for negative responses to PSI requests.
- The 'Spirit' of the Directive is important in terms of implementation and compliance.

#### **6. The Financial Impact of the Directive: Pricing and Charging. Key issues. (Chris Corbin, ePSIplus Analyst)**

Three thematic meetings related to this theme are planned.

- Helsinki – April 2007
- London - November 2007
- Rome – June 2008

A background paper on issues and good practices within this theme will be drafted and published on the project website by the end of December 2006. This paper will be revised and updated following each of the thematic meetings.

A web-based survey will be undertaken with corresponding report published.

Findings from the thematic meetings & survey will be also be included in country reports, the PSI Bibliography and published on the ePSIplus website.

It is important to look at the 'bigger picture' of what is happening in Europe at the time of the review in 2008 and how it might impact on the review, i.e., there will be elections to the European Parliament.

There is a need for comparative price data across countries (for similar types of data) taking into consideration GDP, and that 'like' is compared with 'like'.

Recitals reflect the intention of the EC in drafting the PSI legislation and might be taken into consideration by adjudicators examining cases.

There are many examples of where 'supposedly' information about pricing is published, e.g., on a website, but on closer inspection the published information isn't in keeping with the intention of the directive.

## **7. Encouraging PSI Re-use Business: key issues (Gerhard Wagner, ePSIplus Analyst)**

Some feedback on this topic is needed from the Network Members over the next 4-6 weeks.

A distinction can be made between PSI 'oldies' (experienced) and 'beginners' (inexperienced).

Barriers might have contributed to failure to access PSI over a long period of time.

There is a concern held by holders of PSI that persons/organisations outside of the EC can apply for access and licenses.

A directory of PPP (Private/Public partnerships) is needed along with case studies of good practice in the area of PPPs.

There is a need to make distinctions between the following.

- regime for USE and DISTRIBUTION (public data holders sell directly to citizens/businesses or outsource that task to PRIVATE DISTRIBUTORS)
- regime for RE-USE (the private re-user establish a NEW product with OWN copyright attached)
- FOI-legislation (focus on access to documents, not on data or databases)
- limited access of \*qualified users\* to documents relating to THEIR administrative CASE

The following is an explanatory note.

- In several member states private PSI-re-users (mostly IT-companies) got a CONCESSION to distribute public databases to clients (including marketing and billing). They do NOT receive a LICENCE to re-use these public data and to add added-value. If private re-users would get PSI-holdings at lower prices (A) or would establish similar databases as the authentic ones, then public data holders fear a threat of their revenue stream (by private distributors). Public data holders do not believe that a decrease in users-fees will be balanced by additional revenues by licenses of re-users.

Suggestion that during the national meetings that the agenda allows a short period of time (1 – 1½ hours) for PSI re-users participating in the meeting to interact with top level management persons from the public sector. It should be possible for top-level management to participate in a forum such as the national meetings for a short period of time.

## **8. Public Sector Organisations and Culture Change: key issues (Rob Davies, ePSIplus Network Co-ordinator)**

Rob reported that Mary Rowlatt (intended to be the analyst covering this area) with direct experience of PSI issues and the public sector is not able to participate in the project in this role. Mary Rowlatt may be able to continue her participation as an external expert'. This presentation was prepared with Mary Rowlatt's input.

Work planned is a survey and 3 thematic meetings. One of the thematic meetings will be focused on training and the others on developing partnerships.

Achieving culture change within the public sector can be a 'big' job. The ePSIplus approach will be to take practical steps.

PSI and re-use are terms, which are not always understood within the public sector.

There is a need to identify/collect case studies of good practice with links included.

### Discussion/Comments

There is a need to examine where PSI income goes within the public sector (to which departments) and how often this income goes directly to the finance department. Even in cases where the income goes to the MINISTRY of FINANCE there can be indirect compensation for the department that collected and SOLD the data.

There was a suggestion to prepare a short one page summary with points to discuss with public sector representatives.

For the public sector to be more proactive and in keeping with the Directive, there is a need to have a vision of information society and how even the public sector itself might benefit from PRIVATE added-value products based on PSI-reuse (public data holders BOTH as sellers and consumers).

There is a case to be made for strong agencies to act as effective 'watchdogs' to ensure that PSI data is visible and accessible (e.g., the Estonian example).

The point was made that the channels to approach the public sector within the context of ePSIplus are that the project is funded by the EC and through network member contacts within countries.

There needs to be an attitude with the public sector that acknowledges that PSI belongs to the society as a whole.

The point was made that it is possible to over estimate the value of PSI at the local level.

The best approach to use with the public sector is to show them good examples, e.g., the Slovenian example. The finance issue can't be ignored. The case for commercial interests does need to be made, e.g., some countries might be left behind unless this issue is addressed. There is a need for macro economic research about figures and value of PSI to use when engaging with the public sector.

A positive side to commercial interests for PSI is that this interest promotes dialogue about the data and what is needed.

Public sector information can be 'hot' or politically sensitive and thus politicians need to be made aware of and abide by transparency arguments.

## 9. Information Management, Standards and Data Quality: key issues (Brian Green, ePSIplus Analyst)

Asset registers can be a very positive approach, but there often aren't the financial or human resources within the public sector to develop and maintain asset registers.

[OPSI](#) (Office of Public Sector Information, UK) is a good example.

The ePSINet project Standards Map is useful resource/method of understanding standards, although the ePSINet standards map needs updating.

The public sector needs to look at standards outside of the public sector. There is a need to work with industry sectors on interoperability issues.

### Discussion/Comments

Estonia is required by law to have asset registers.

There has been movement on the EC front with XML standards.

There was a suggestion to address existing standardisation bodies on national and European level and to identify issues and standards relevant for PSI-reuse. If there is a common interest, a common working group could be established on EU-level addressing cross-sectoral PSI-issues.

Work done on the common agricultural policy can be looked at to identify how standards issues were addressed.

Other funding options perhaps focused on European metadata standards merit investigation.

There are lots of issues involved in keeping asset registers up to date.

There are different metadata standards required for FOI and PSI. FOI (Freedom of Information) is more focused on documents, whereas PSI (Public Sector Information) is more focused on data).

A suggestion was made for data quality forum involving representatives from the private and public sectors.

There is a need to differentiate between basic data quality and data with added value.

Asset registers are good in that they provide a 'snapshot' of what is available. Often, it is also important to have sample data or at minimum a description of the data.

Slovenia – good example of putting asset registers online including data sources and data quality.

## 10. Role of the National Network Members. Followed by discussion (Chris Corbin, ePSIplus Analyst/ Marc de Vries, ePSIplus Analyst/ Olga McHenry, ePSIplus Meetings Coordinator)

### Life after the Directive, addressing the next frontier of legal barriers, outline First thematic meeting Legal area (Marc de Vries, ePSIplus Analyst)

The first thematic meeting will be in the legal and regulatory area. It is planned to be held in The Hague, the Netherlands, on the 12<sup>th</sup> of January, **however, that date may change**. The National network members were cordially invited to animate their networks and promote the meeting amongst the relevant target groups, being:

1. public sector lawyers involved in setting the framework and implementing it.

2. practicing lawyers involved in supporting clients
3. academic researchers in this area
4. competition authority personnel

This first thematic meeting will focus on transposition and any issues in the Directive that may require clarification.

Concretely, the members were requested to:

A. Come up with names - *before 15 December* , to be sent to: Olga and Marc ([Olga.McHenry@epsiplus.net](mailto:Olga.McHenry@epsiplus.net) and [Marc@zenc.nl](mailto:Marc@zenc.nl))

B. Provide details on: Transposition of the Directive (in as far this has not been done yet) and disputes at hand – *before 30 November – before 30 November*, to be sent to Chris and Marc ([corbinceh@ntlworld.com](mailto:corbinceh@ntlworld.com) [marc@zenc.nl](mailto:marc@zenc.nl))

In order to allow for the selling towards the target groups, Marc will come up with a draft invitation to be distributed to the National network members in the course of week 45.

### **Planning National Meeting Dates (Olga McHenry, ePSIplus Meetings Co-ordinator)**

Olga McHenry (ePSIplus Meetings Co-ordinator) collected tentative dates (months) for when each of the Network members could host their national meeting. A summary report on these meeting dates will be posted to the website.

Persons from the UK will contact DCF (Digital Content Forum), UK National member to discuss a tentative date for the UK national meeting.

It was noted that a factor in the setting of meeting dates was the timing of payments received from the EC and corresponding cash flow to cover meeting costs.

### **Country Scorecard (Chris Corbin, ePSIplus Analyst)**

The scorecard will be published on the website. The ePSIplus project is well placed, given its network status, resources, network and EC funding status to publish such a scorecard.

The country scorecard concept has the potential to be provocative. It also has the potential to promote discussion and movement on PSI issues.

It is fundamental that European organisations are added to the scorecard.

There will be ongoing updating of the scorecard as changes and developments happen within countries.

The first edition of the scorecard can be viewed on the slides from this presentation.

There was discussion if the scores given would be considered 'credible.' The scores can be challenged, by providing evidence to the support the challenge. A disclaimer/explanation will be included on the scorecard saying how the scores were calculated and when the scoring was done.

Documents and other information sent to the project, to do the scoring do not need to be translated into English. They can be sent in national languages.

There was discussion about adding a written description to the scorecard of what had been accomplished in a particular country to complement the 'black & white' approach taken to assigning scores. There was not consensus on this point. There were strong points made for not adding descriptions. This is because where the scoring included points these will have been reached with lots of time given for countries to have complied.

There was discussion about changing the name from 'scorecard' to possible other terms such as 'status report' or 'dash board'. There was no consensus on changing the name.

Everyone was encouraged to continue to provide feedback on the scorecard after the meeting.

## **11. Organization of National and Thematic Meetings (Olga McHenry, ePSIplus Meetings Coordinator)**

### National Meetings

Plans are to hold up to 35 national meetings (at least 1 per country), plus "reserve" meetings - specific emerging issues from individual countries or cross-border issues.

Meetings will take place from November 2006 to October 2008

Analysts will participate in meetings and will assume main responsibility for organising the meetings, as well as writing the reports.

National meetings can be held in national languages. Each meeting will need to be considered on a case-by-case basis to determine the best approach to dealing with possible language issues.

The budget allocation for the national meetings does not cover any labour/personnel costs. The budget includes modest allocations for disbursements (e.g., venue hire, basic catering and other meeting costs). It is hoped that some countries will be able to arrange free meeting rooms and necessary and affordable interpretation/translation services. There is no budget allocation for travel and subsistence costs for meeting participants.

It might be necessary for the national partner representative to explain to the analyst some local terms or local acronyms in advance of the meeting.

### Thematic Meetings

- 15 Thematic Meetings, 3 meetings corresponding to each of the 5 thematic action areas
- Approximately 30 participants
- Promote representation from majority of participating countries

Send names of persons to invite to the thematic meetings to Olga McHenry, ePSIplus Meetings Co-ordinator and the analyst responsible for the thematic meeting.

Thematic meetings will be in English. Participants at the meetings will need English language skills.

There is budget to cover travel and subsistence costs of meeting participants and other meeting costs such as venue hire and modest catering.

It is not a requirement that experts invited to a thematic meeting to participate in all 3 meetings related to a particular theme.

### Points applying to National and Thematic Meetings

Templates will be provided for organising & reporting on the meetings, e.g., invitations, agenda, and reports.

Participation in the meetings is by invitation.

Reports from meetings (national and thematic) will be posted to the website. Thus, it will be possible to follow what is happening in other countries.

Send budgets (basic budget/outline of estimated costs) for meetings to Olga McHenry, ePSIplus meetings co-ordinator for review.

## **12. Contractual, Administrative and Payment Issues (Mary Gianoli, ePSIplus Content Manager)**

### **Network Agreement**

The Network Agreement was circulated to Network members prior to this meeting for review and signature. It is a 'Deliverable' in the 'description of work' D1.1 (and thus a formal contractual requirement). It is an Agreement covering the involvement of Thematic Network partners in ePSIplus.

The Network Agreement is made up of the following.

- Network Agreement & Annexes  
Annexes are:  
Basic grant agreement  
Annex I – Description of Work  
Annex II – General Conditions  
Annex III           Form A – Consent of Beneficiaries  
                          Form B – Accession Request for new legal entities

Network members are responsible for reviewing the Network Agreement and arranging signature of the Agreement (Accession form, last page of the Agreement). Return the signed form to MDR Partners, Network Co-ordinator (as per instructions in email correspondence). Questions about the Network Agreement can be sent to Mary Gianoli and Rob Davies.

MDR Partners (ePSIplus Network Co-ordinator) is responsible for returning a counter signed copy of the Accession form to the Network partners and sending copies to the EC. MDR will also maintain an up-to-date list of Network members and will submit them to the EC along with other periodic reporting required under the terms of the ePSIplus grant agreement.

### **Reimbursement of travel and subsistence costs**

Two forms are available for claiming travel and subsistence costs. One is a payment/bank details form. It should be completed and returned by email (follow instructions on the form). The other is a form to claim travel and subsistence costs. It needs to be completed following instructions on the form and the signed paper copy of the form (with receipts for costs claimed attached) should be returned to Olga McHenry.

- ✓ Receipts for all expenses claimed need to be included with the claim.
- ✓ All costs claimed need to be in euros (convert using the EC's official exchange rate – details on the form).
- ✓ Payments can be made to individuals or an organisation, whoever paid the costs & needs to be reimbursed. Boarding cards are not required for proof of air travel. To claim air fare costs include documentation recording the cost of the flights and travel destinations.

The person making the claim or a responsible person in the organisation making the claim can sign the Travel and Subsistence claim form.

Please keep photocopies of your travel claims in your personal files or your organisation's files.

Payments of travel and subsistence claims will be processed by MDR Partners and paid promptly.

## **13. Introduction to the project website and stakeholder database (Michal Warzala, ePSIplus Analyst/ Mary Gianoli, ePSIplus Content Manager/ Luc Chase, ePSIplus Webmaster)**

Website address:       <http://www.epsiplus.net/>

Registration – gives the person making registrations 'stakeholder' status in the ePSIplus Network and access to various website facilities, including new content notification, participation in the forum,

Network communications, and quarterly newsletter on PSI issues. Stakeholder registration on the site is user friendly and includes the option to 'opt out' and change new content notifications settings.

#### Search facilities

Content posted to the site can be searched in several ways.

- Use search box at top of the page, type in the search term
- Use the search by category box on home page
- Click on a particular country on the map (home page) to find content related to a country.

Reports, Documents or information in national languages can be posted to the website. National representative partners are encouraged to send such materials along with a brief English description of the content (title, author, date, couple of lines description).

Website usage statistics reports are forthcoming.

Comments, feedback and suggestions for development of the website are welcome.

#### Suggestions for Website

Calendar showing project meetings would be useful.

On notification settings page, change the term 'node notification' to 'content notification'.

Use National representative logos on website – e.g., home or contacts pages.

ePSIplus meetings information needs to be more easily found on the site, than it currently is.  
Have a page for National Representative partners.

Have a private area on the site for Network members

#### Stakeholders

Currently, there are 1030 stakeholder registrations.

- Stakeholders are an important indicator of project success. The number of registered stakeholders is a target set in the project description of work (Annex I) to grant agreement.
- Continuation of the process started in ePSINet and ePSINet CEE projects.
- Ambitious target set for the ePSIplus project – 5000 registered stakeholders by the end of the project.
- User friendly registration process on the project website
- Concrete benefits of becoming a registered stakeholder:
  - Full access to services offered on the ePSIplus web portal
  - Quarterly PSI newsletter

There is an important role for ePSIplus National Representatives to promote registration of stakeholders in order to achieve the following.

- Make ePSIplus visible in a wide number of countries
- Identify stakeholders to participate in ePSIplus events
- Promote discussion and debate on PSI issues

## Annex A --List of Meeting Participants



ePSIplus Network Kick off Meeting  
Prague, Czech Republic, October 30/31, 2006

### LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

Partner No.	Short Name	Organisational Legal Name	Country	First Name	Last Name	Email
1	CEIT ALANOVA	Central European Institute of Technology	Austria	Manfred	Schrenk	m.schrenk@ceit.at
2	ASA	Agence pour la simplification administrative	Belgium	Sophie	Gregoire	sophie.gregoire@premier.fed.be
3	SFB	SFB Capital Market JSC	Bulgaria	Vania	Kirova	bic@bia-bg.com
4	EPMA	Czech PSI Watch	Czech Rep.	Irina	Zalisova	Zalisova@brezen.cz
5	EPMA	Czech PSI Watch	Czech Rep.	Dagmar	Vranova	vranova@epma.cz
6	EGA	e-Governance Academy	Estonia	Liia	Hänni	liia@ega.ee
7	CA	CAPP	Finland	Paavo	Paloheimo	paavo.paloheimo@eucon.pp.fi
8	GFII	GFII - Groupement Français de l'Industrie de l'Information	France	Michel	Vajou	michel.vajou@wanadoo.fr
9	GEOkomm	GEOKOMM	Germany	Peter	Hecker	peter.a.hecker@geokomm.de
10	GSPA-PSIN	Ministry. of the Interior	Greece	Kaliopi	Angeletopoulo	k.agg@syzefxis.gov.gr
11	MATISZ	Hungarian Association of Content Industries	Hungary	Bea	Sáfár	bsafar@matisz.hu
12	Lendac	Lendac Data Systems Ltd	Ireland	Don	Lehane	dlehane@lendac.ie
13	AIE	Associazione Italiana Editori	Italy	Paola	Mazzucchi	piero.attanasio@aidro.org
14	IUMEPLS	Minister for Electronic Government Affairs	Lativa	Inese	Betaga	inese.betaga@eps.gov.lv
15	LTCOMMITTE	Information Society Development Committee under Government	Lithuania	Kestutis	Andrijauskas	k.andrijauskas@ivpk.lt
16	e-CS	E-Content Store	Luxembourg	Attila	Toth	novitech@altnet.lu
17	AcrossLimits	Across Limits	Malta	Cynthia	Tomasuolo	cynthia@acrosslimits.com
18	PQ	Perquirimus	Netherlands	Robbin	te Velde	robbin.tevelde@perquirimus.com
19	COI	Cities on the Internet	Poland	Wojciech	Sadowicz	w.sadowicz@mwi.pl
20	MOD	Instituto de Meteorologia, I. P.	Portugal	Ricardo	Fonseca	Ricardo.fonseca@meteo.pt

Partner No.	Short Name	Organisational Legal Name	Country	First Name	Last Name	Email
21	COI	Chamber of Commerce and Industry of Romania	Romania	Cornelia	Rotaru	diana.lupulescu@ccir.ro
22	IM	APEL - Associations for Support of Liberalism of Economics	Slovakia	Peter	Druga	druga@pdc.sk
23	IM	APEL - Associations for Support of Liberalism of Economics	Slovakia	Vladimir	Ivantysyn	vladimir_ivantysyn@itapa.sk
24	IM	APEL - Associations for Support of Liberalism of Economics	Slovakia	Lucia	Muskova	muskova@itapa.sk
25	C.C.I.R.	IUS SOFTWARE d.o.o. Ljubljana	Slovenia	Alex	Petrovic	Alex.Petrovic@ius-software.si
26	EC	DG Information Society and Media Information Market Unit - E4	EC	Andrej	Osterman	andrej.osterman@ec.europa.eu
27	ePSIplus Network Coordinating Team	ePSIplus Project Coordinator	ePSIplus Network Coordinating Team	Rob	Davies	Rob.Davies@epsiplus.net
28	ePSIplus Network Coordinating Team	ePSIplus Content Manager	ePSIplus Network Coordinating Team	Mary	Gianoli	Mary.Gianoli@epsiplus.net
29	ePSIplus Network Coordinating Team	ePSIplus Financail Coordinator	ePSIplus Network Coordinating Team	David	Fuegi	david.fuegi@mdrpartners.com
30	ePSIplus Netwrok Analyst	ePSIplus Analyst	ePSIplus Netwrok Analyst	Chris	Corbin	Chris.Corbin@epsiplus.net
31	ePSIplus Netwrok Analyst	ePSIplus Analyst	ePSIplus Netwrok Analyst	Brian	Green	brian@bic.org.uk
32	ePSIplus Netwrok Analyst	ePSIplus Analyst	ePSIplus Netwrok Analyst	Marc	de Vries	marc.devries@zenc.nl

Partner No.	Short Name	Organisational Legal Name	Country	First Name	Last Name	Email
33	ePSIplus Network Analyst	ePSIplus Analyst	ePSIplus Network Analyst	Gerhard	Wagner	gkwagner@via.at
34	ePSIplus Network Analyst	ePSIplus Analyst	ePSIplus Network Analyst	Michal	Warzala	Michal.Warzala@epsiplus.net
35	ePSIplus Network Coordinating Team	ePSIplus Webmaster	ePSIplus Network Coordinating Team	Luc	Chase	Luc.Chase@epsiplus.net
36	ePSIplus Network Coordinating Team	ePSIplus Meetings Coordinator	ePSIplus Network Coordinating Team	Olga	McHenry	Olga.McHenry@epsiplus.net